

<small>PERSONAL USE ONLY - NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE (Print, name and address, and address)</small> Mikhael Y. Lerman 2462 Booksin Ave San Jose CA 95125 TELEPHONE NO.: 408-564-9578 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): michael_lerman@yahoo.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): self represented		<small>FOR COURT USE ONLY</small> (ENDORSED) FILED JUL 18 2025 Clerk of the Court Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara BY _____ DEPUTY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Santa Clara STREET ADDRESS: 201 North 1st Street MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North 1st Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose CA 95113 BRANCH NAME: Family		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Celine E. Lerman DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Mikhael Y. Lerman		
DECLARATION		CASE NUMBER: 22FL000408

Judicial form for :

* Exhibit_MNO_MINUTE_ORDER_APRIL_3_2025.pdf

Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

RECEIVED

JUL 18 2025

By _____
DEPUTY

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

Mikhael Y. Lerman

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



Digitally signed by
Mikhael Lerman
Date: 2025.05.10
11:36:26 -07'00'

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)

☐ Attorney for ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Petitioner ☒ Defendant
☐ Respondent ☐ Other (Specify):

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT MNO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

MINUTE ORDER

Celine Lerman vs Mikhael Lerman
22FL000408
Date of Hearing: 04/03/2025

Hearing Start Time: 9:00 AM
Hearing Type: Court Trial: Short Cause

Heard By: Hendrickson, Cindy
Courtroom Reporter: - No Court Reporter

Location: Department 65
Courtroom Clerk: Minh Nguyen
Court Interpreter:
Court Investigator:

Parties Present:

Future Hearings:

Lerman, Celine E. Petitioner
Peterson, Larry Alan Limited Scope
 Attorney

84, Document, Released, 2025 Emails re Cherry Ave. repairs and insurance needed: Husband's refusal
85, Document, Released, 2025 Emails re need to execute IST deeds on Settle, Booksin, per Sept. 15, 2023, court decision, and on Cherry too; Husband's refusal

91, Document, Released, Respondent's Bank Statements (PNC and Capital One)

86, Document, Released, August 2024 - 2025 emails re SiliconKit

87, Document, Released, New SiliconKit website, ManEstate

88, Document, Released, Respondent's fake FOAH he sent to CPA Butera on Oct. 4, 2023

61, Document, Released, compilation of data from PNC Bank statements

92, Document, Released, Respondent's ETrade Statements, 2023-2024

93, Document, Released, Respondent's Capital One Statements, Nov.-Dec 2022

94, Document, Released, March 2023 UMB account statement for HSA

95, Document, Released, Caisse D'Epargne Ile-De-France documents and statements

89, Document, Released, Husband's Capital One 360 bank statements (transactions) account #1338 from Nov. 17, 2022, through Dec. 31, 2024

- 09:40 a.m. The petitioner and her attorney appeared physically.

09:40 a.m. Attorney Petersen indicated to the Court that he received written correspondence from the respondent indicating the the respondent would not be attending today's trial and would not be participating in any future court hearings related to this case.

09:46 a.m. Counsel provided a declaration from respondent indicating that respondent does not intend to appear and this declaration will be scanned in to the Court's Navigation system.

09:46 a.m. Attorney Peterson described to the Court how he would like to proceed.

09:47 a.m. The petitioner is sworn in.

09:48 a.m. Jurisdictional facts were recited. The petitioner was voir dired regarding the jurisdictional facts.

09:49 a.m. Attorney Petersen went over what the petitioner is requesting, per the trial brief.

09:54 a.m. Petitioner's exhibit 84, 2025 Emails re Cherry Ave. repairs and insurance needed: Husband s refusal, is marked and admitted into evidence.

09:58 a.m. The Court finds a change in circumstance with respect to the Cherry Avenue property, since the prior trial dates. The petitioner no longer agrees that joint ownership is tenable.

10:00 a.m. Petitioner's exhibit 85, 2025 Emails re need to execute IST deeds on Settle, Booksin, per Sept. 15, 2023,



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

MINUTE ORDER

court decision, and on Cherry too; Husband's refusal, is marked and admitted into evidence.

10:08 a.m. Petitioner's exhibit 91, Respondent's Bank Statements (PNC and Capital One), is marked and admitted into evidence. The petitioner testified that these statements were obtained via subpoena.

10:13 a.m. Exhibit 86, August 2024 - 2025 emails re SiliconKit, is marked and admitted into evidence.

10:15 a.m. Exhibit 87, New SiliconKit website, ManEstate, is marked and admitted into evidence.

10:18 a.m. Exhibit 88, Respondent's fake FOAH he sent to CPA Butera on Oct. 4, 2023, is marked and admitted into evidence.

10:23 a.m. Regarding SiliconKit, the Court finds that there is a change in circumstance since the prior order, to wit, a clear lack of cooperation by respondent to comply with the prior order.

10:35 a.m. The Court takes morning recess.

10:51 a.m. Exhibit 61, an aid to the Court, is a compilation of data from PNC Bank statements, is marked. The petitioner testified regarding exhibit 61. The PNC statements were obtained via subpoena. Based on petitioner's testimony regarding her review of subpoenaed PNC Bank records, the Court finds that respondent spent at least \$10,656 on expenditures that did not benefit the community. Petitioner will be awarded half of this amount, which is \$5,328 owed by respondent to petitioner.

10:58 a.m. Exhibit 92, Respondent's ETrade Statements, 2023-2024, is marked and admitted into evidence.

Petitioner's testimony was that this document was received from a subpoena.

11:07 a.m. Exhibit 93, Respondent's Capital One Statements, Nov.-Dec 2022, is marked and admitted into evidence. The petitioner testified that this document was received per subpoena.

11:10 a.m. Exhibit 94, March 2023 UMB account statement for HSA, is marked and admitted into evidence. The petitioner stated that this document is a statement from petitioner's own accounts.

11:13 a.m. Exhibit 95, Caisse D Epargne Ile-De-France documents and statements, is marked. The petitioner provided testimony regarding this exhibit. Pages 2, 3, 4, and 8 are admitted into evidence. Page 1 is considered hearsay, and will not be admitted into evidence.

11:35 a.m. The petitioner testified to the fact that the accounts in France, ending in 0784 and 0739, did not have any further money deposited by her into those accounts during the marriage. The inheritance deposited by her father into those accounts is \$67,000 Euros, which is roughly \$74,000 US dollars, as of today. These two accounts will be designated as the petitioner's sole and separate property.

11:58 p.m. The Court takes noon recess.

02:02 p.m. The Court is back in session.

02:02 p.m. Exhibit 89, Husband's Capital One 360 bank statements (transactions) account #1338 from Nov. 17, 2022, through Dec. 31, 2024, is marked and admitted into evidence.

The petitioner testified that she received this document via subpoena.

The Court determines that the spousal support payments made by the respondent, taken from community property, totals \$10,218.

The Court finds that respondent took \$4,686 from the joint account ending in 9389 and put it in his own account ending in 4766. The petitioner is requesting half of this amount, which is \$2,343.

The Court discussed off the record with the petitioner and petitioner's attorney various accounts that are to be divided and how to divide them.

The Court addressed with the petitioner on what to do with the issues regarding attorney's fees and costs and spousal support. The Court will reserve on the issue of spousal support.

02:55 p.m. At this point, the remote pro tem court reporter Teresa Nardello, CSR #9966, started reporting for this trial.

The Court notes that the respondent received proper notice and was aware of today's trial and voluntarily absented himself from this trial. The Court notes that the jurisdictional facts were recited and that the petitioner was voir dired regarding the facts. The petitioner does not want her maiden name restored. The Court finds that the date of marriage is 10-17-90 and the date of separation is 2-6-23.



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

MINUTE ORDER

The Court recited a very detailed ruling into the record, stating all the property, assets, accounts, and debts that are to be divided.

The Court will award the Cherry Avenue property to the petitioner and that equity from the property will be used to satisfy the remaining amounts owed by respondent to petitioner.

The Court will authorize the Clerk of the Court to sign off on any documents needed to effectuate the sale of the Cherry Avenue property.

The Court finds that the Cherry Avenue property's value is 1.7 million dollars.

The Court cited the prior properties Settle and Booksin that have already been awarded to either party and the value of that property.

With respect to the property at 10 Rue Courat 75020, Paris, France, the petitioner does not wish to relinquish her 25% share of the property and wishes to buy out respondent's 25% share. As of 4-3-25, the petitioner will obtain the value of the property through the appropriate French authorities. The petitioner will be allowed to buy out respondent's 25% share. Upon payment to respondent for his share, the respondent's name will be removed from the title of that property.

With respect to the SiliconKit business property, the financial expert hired to evaluate the property was unable or unwilling to do so due to the respondent providing a doctored FOAH. This property will be awarded to respondent as his sole and separate property and he will indemnify the petitioner of any liability associated with that business. The Court will find that petitioner should be credited with \$20,000 for the value of that community business.

With respect to credit cards, each party shall be awarded the credit card in their names as their sole and separate property.

With respect to retirement accounts, the Court finds that the respondent did not cooperate with the division process, so the Court will make new orders to divide these accounts.

The Court recited various accounts that belonged to each party.

The Court finds that petitioner's accounts total \$766,908 and respondent's accounts total \$677,248.

The Court will award each party the non-defined benefit plan in their name as their sole and separate property.

There will be an equalization order.

With the defined benefit plan in their name, that will be their sole and separate property.

Regarding the Booksin property rental income earned by the respondent for 38 months, that value comes out to \$190,000 and the petitioner will be awarded half that amount.

The Court will award to petitioner \$5,328, for the reasons as recited.

The Court recited other monetary awards to petitioner, for the reasons as recited.

Regarding money inherited by the petitioner from her deceased mother, she will be awarded the inheritance money deposited in to the accounts ending in 0784 and 0739 as her sole and separate property. The money in these two accounts total 67,000 Euros, which is approximately \$74,000 US dollars.

The Court will award petitioner a reimbursement amount of \$10,218, for reasons as recited.

The Court will award petitioner a reimbursement amount of \$2,343, for reasons as recited.

The Court will reserve on the issue of spousal support.

Regarding petitioner's attorney's fees request, the Court will reserve this issue.

The Court notes that the petitioner has already been made whole for at least \$75,000 for attorney's fees, so the attorney's fees issue is reserved for amounts above \$75,000.

The respondent will defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the petitioner in regards to the SiliconKit business.

The Court will grant a Judgment of Dissolution, based on irreconcilable differences, with marital status terminating



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA**

MINUTE ORDER

on 4-3-25.

The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce any orders made in the Judgment.

The Court signed the order regarding Elisor, presented by attorney Petersen, authorizing the Clerk of the Court of Santa Clara County to sign documents on behalf of the respondent.

The Court orders each party be awarded the personal property in their possession, without equalization or offset.

Attorney Petersen will order the transcript from the reporter and prepare a Judgment of Dissolution, based on the transcript.

Attorney Petersen will also attach to the Judgment, as exhibits, prior orders that were made from Day 1 and Day 2 of this dissolution trial.

The petitioner was informed to hold on to the exhibits for 60 days in case there is an appeal filed regarding this Judgment.

The exhibits were released back to the petitioner.

03:47 p.m. The Court is adjourned.